

NOTES ON ABELIAN VARIETIES

YICHAO TIAN AND WEIZHE ZHENG

We fix a field k and an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . A variety over k is a geometrically integral and separated scheme of finite type over k . If X and Y are schemes over k , we denote by $X \times Y = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} Y$, and Ω_X^1 the sheaf of differential 1-forms on X relative to k .

1. GENERALITIES ON GROUP SCHEMES OVER A FIELD

Definition 1.1. (i) A *group scheme over k* is a k -scheme $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ together with morphisms of k -schemes $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ (multiplication), $i : G \rightarrow G$ (inverse), and $e : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow G$ (identity section), such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ (m \times \text{Id}_G) &= m \circ (\text{Id}_G \times m) : G \times G \times G \rightarrow G, \\ m \circ (e \times \text{Id}_G) &= j_1 : \text{Spec}(k) \times G \rightarrow G, \\ m \circ (\text{Id}_G \times e) &= j_2 : G \times \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow G, \\ e \circ \pi &= m \circ (\text{Id}_G \times i) \circ \Delta_G = m \circ (i \times \text{Id}_G) \circ \Delta_G : G \rightarrow G, \end{aligned}$$

where $j_1 : \text{Spec}(k) \times G \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ and $j_2 : G \times \text{Spec}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ are the natural isomorphisms.

(ii) A group scheme G over k is said to be *commutative* if, letting $s : G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$ be the isomorphism switching the two factors, we have the identity $m = m \circ s : G \times G \rightarrow G$.

(iii) A homomorphism of group schemes $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a morphism of k -schemes which commutes with the morphisms of multiplication, inverse and identity section.

Remark 1.2. (i) For any k -scheme S , the set $G(S) = \text{Mor}_{k\text{-Sch}}(S, G)$ is naturally equipped with a group structure. By Yoneda Lemma, the group scheme G is completely determined by the functor $h_G : S \mapsto G(S)$ from the category of k -schemes to the category of groups. More precisely, the functor $G \mapsto h_G$ from the category of group schemes over k to the category $\text{Funct}(k\text{-Sch}, \text{Group})$ of functors is fully faithful.

(ii) For any $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we put $[n] = [n]_G : G \rightarrow G$ to be the morphism of k -schemes

$$G \xrightarrow{\Delta^{(n)}} \underbrace{G \times G \times \cdots \times G}_{n \text{ times}} \xrightarrow{m^{(n)}} G$$

if $n \geq 0$, and $[n] = [-n] \circ i$ if $n < 0$. If G is commutative, $[n]_G$ is a homomorphism of group schemes. Moreover, G is commutative if and only if i is a homomorphism.

Example 1.3. (1) The additive group. Let $\mathbf{G}_a = \text{Spec}(k[X])$ be the group scheme given by

$$\begin{aligned} m^* : k[X] &\rightarrow k[X] \otimes k[X] & X &\mapsto X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X \\ i^* : k[X] &\rightarrow k[X] & X &\mapsto -X \\ [n]_{\mathbf{G}_a} : k[X] &\rightarrow k[X] & X &\mapsto nX. \end{aligned}$$

For any k -scheme S , $\mathbf{G}_a(S) = \text{Hom}_{k\text{-Alg}}(k[X], \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)) = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ with the additive group law.

(2) The multiplicative group is the group scheme $\mathbf{G}_m = \text{Spec}(k[X, 1/X])$ given by

$$m^*(X) = X \otimes X, \quad e^*(X) = 1, \quad i^*(X) = 1/X.$$

For any k -scheme S , we have $\mathbf{G}_m(S) = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^\times$ with the multiplicative group law.

(3) For any integer $n > 0$, the closed subscheme $\mu_n = \text{Spec}(k[X]/(X^n - 1))$ of \mathbf{G}_m has a group structure induced by that of \mathbf{G}_m . For any k -scheme S , $\mu_n(S)$ is the group of n -th roots of unity in $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^\times$, *i.e.*,

$$\mu_n(S) = \{f \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^\times \mid f^n = 1\}.$$

We note that μ_n is not reduced if the characteristic of k divides n .

(4) For $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we put $\text{GL}_n = \text{Spec}(k[(T_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, U]/(U \det(T_{i,j}) - 1))$. It is endowed with a group scheme structure by imposing

$$m^*(T_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{i,k} \otimes T_{k,j} \quad e^*(T_{i,j}) = \delta_{i,j},$$

where $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. An explicit formula for the coinverse i^* is more complicated, and it can be given by the Cramer's rule for the inverse of a square matrix. For each S , $\text{GL}_n(S)$ is the general linear group with coefficients in $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. We have of course $\text{GL}_1 = \mathbf{G}_m$.

Proposition 1.4. *Any group scheme over k is separated.*

Proof. This follows from the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Spec}(k) \\ \downarrow \Delta_G & & \downarrow e \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m \circ (\text{Id}_G \times i)} & G \end{array}$$

and the fact that e is a closed immersion. □

Lemma 1.5. *Let X be a geometrically connected (resp. geometrically irreducible, resp. geometrically reduced) k -scheme, Y be a connected (resp. irreducible, resp. reduced) k -scheme. Then $X \times Y$ is connected (resp. irreducible, resp. reduced).*

For a proof, see [EGA IV, 4, 5].

Proposition 1.6. *Let G be a group scheme over k . If k is perfect, then the reduced subscheme $G_{\text{red}} \subset G$ is a closed subgroup scheme of G .*

Proof. Since k is perfect, the product $G_{\text{red}} \times G_{\text{red}}$ is still reduced by 1.5. The composed morphism $G_{\text{red}} \times G_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow G \times G \xrightarrow{m} G$ factors through G_{red} . This gives G_{red} a subgroup scheme structure of G . □

Remark 1.7. If k is imperfect, the analogue of 1.6 is not true in general. In fact, if a is an element of k which is not a p -th power, where $p = \text{char}(k)$, then $G = \text{Spec}(k[X]/(X^{p^2} - aX^p))$ is a subgroup scheme of \mathbf{G}_a , but $G_{\text{red}} = \text{Spec}(k[X]/(X(X^{p^2-p} - a)))$ is not a subgroup scheme of G .

Lemma 1.8. *Let X be a connected scheme over k with a rational point $x \in X(k)$. Then X is geometrically connected.*

Proof. This is [EGA IV 4.5.14]. □

Proposition 1.9. *Let G be a group scheme, locally of finite type over k , and G^0 be the connected component of G containing $e \in G(k)$.*

(i) *The following properties are equivalent:*

(a1) *$G \otimes_k K$ is reduced for some perfect field extension K/k ;*

(a2) *the ring $\mathcal{O}_{G,e} \otimes_k K$ is reduced for some perfect field extension K/k ;*

(b1) *G is smooth over k ;*

(b2) *G is smooth over k at e ;*

(ii) *The identity component G^0 is actually an open and closed subgroup scheme of G , geometrically irreducible. In particular, we have $(G_K)^0 = (G^0)_K$ for any field extension K/k .*

(iii) *Every connected component of G is irreducible and of finite type over k .*

Remark 1.10. (i) A reduced group scheme over k is not necessarily smooth unless k is perfect. In fact, let k be an imperfect field of characteristic p , α be an element of k which is not a p -th power. Consider the subgroup scheme $G = \text{Spec}(k[X, Y]/(X^p + \alpha Y^p))$ of $\text{Spec}(k[X, Y]) \simeq \mathbf{G}_a \times \mathbf{G}_a$. Then G is regular but not smooth over k . In fact, $G \otimes_k k(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})$ is not reduced.

(ii) The non-neutral components of a group scheme over k are not necessarily geometrically irreducible. Consider for example a prime number p invertible in k . Then the number of irreducible components of μ_p is 2 if k does not contain any p -th root of unity different from 1, and is p otherwise. In particular, $\mu_{p, \mathbf{Q}}$ has exactly 2 irreducible components while $\mu_{p, \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ has exactly p irreducible components, where ζ_p is a primitive p -th root of unity.

Proof. (i) We only need to prove the implication (a2) \Rightarrow (b1). We may assume $k = \bar{k}$. For $g \in G(k)$, we denote by $r_g : G \rightarrow G$ the right translation by g . It's clear that r_g induces an isomorphism of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{G,g} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{G,e}$. Hence (a2) implies that G is reduced. Let $\text{sm}(G) \subset G$ be the smooth locus. This is a Zariski dense open subset of G , stable under all the translations r_g . Hence we have $\text{sm}(G) = G$.

(ii) By Lemma 1.8, G^0 is geometrically connected. Hence so is $G^0 \times G^0$ by 1.5. So under the multiplication morphism of G , the image of $G^0 \times G^0$ lies necessarily in G^0 . This shows that G^0 is a closed subgroup scheme of G .

Next we show that G^0 is geometrically irreducible and quasi-compact. Since G^0 is stable under base field extensions, we may assume $k = \bar{k}$. Since G^0 is irreducible if and only if G_{red}^0 is, we may assume that G^0 is reduced. By (ii), this implies that G^0 is smooth. It's well known that a smooth variety is connected if and only if it's irreducible. To prove the quasi-compactness of G^0 , we take a non-empty affine open subset $U \subset G^0$. Then U is dense in G^0 , since G^0 is irreducible. For every $g \in G^0(k)$, the two open dense subsets gU^{-1} and U have non-trivial intersection. Hence the map $U \times U \rightarrow G^0$ given by multiplication is surjective. Since $U \times U$ is quasi-compact, so is G^0 .

(iii) Again we may assume $k = \bar{k}$. Then every connected component of G is the right translation of G^0 by a rational point. \square

Let G be a group scheme, locally of finite type over k , and \hat{G} be the completion of G along the identity section e . The group law of G induces a (formal) group law on \hat{G} , i.e., we have a co-multiplication map

$$(1.10.1) \quad \hat{m}^* : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{G,e} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{G,e} \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{G,e}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{G,e}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{G,e}$. In particular, for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have a natural map $\hat{m}^* : \mathcal{O}_{G,e} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{G,e}/\mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{G,e}/\mathfrak{m}^n)$, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{G,e}$.

Theorem 1.11 (Cartier). *Let G be a group scheme, locally of finite type over k . If k has characteristic 0, then G is reduced, hence smooth over k .*

Proof. (Oort) Let $A = \mathcal{O}_{G,e}$, $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be the maximal ideal, and $\text{nil}(A) \subset A$ be the nilradical. Since k is perfect, G_{red} is a closed subgroup scheme of G . It follows thus from Proposition 1.9(ii) that $A_{\text{red}} = A/\text{nil}(A)$ is a regular local ring. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{red}} \subset A_{\text{red}}$ be the maximal ideal of A_{red} . Then we have

$$\dim(A) = \dim(A_{\text{red}}) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}_{\text{red}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\text{red}}^2) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{m}^2 + \text{nil}(A))).$$

Thus it suffices to show that $\text{nil}(A) \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$. Since then, we will have $\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim(A)$, hence A is a regular local ring.

Let $0 \neq x \in \text{nil}(A)$, and $n \geq 2$ be the positive integer such that $x^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $x^n = 0$. Since A is noetherian, there exists an integer $q \geq 2$ with $x^{n-1} \notin \mathfrak{m}^q$. We put $B = A/\mathfrak{m}^q$, $\mathfrak{m}_B = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^q$, and let \bar{x} denote the image of x in B . As remarked above, the multiplication law of G induces a homomorphism $\hat{m}^* : A \rightarrow B \otimes B$. Since $e \in G(k)$ is a two-sided identity element, we have

$$\hat{m}^*(x) = \bar{x} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{x} + y \quad \text{with } y \in \mathfrak{m}_B \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B.$$

From $x^n = 0$, we get

$$0 = \hat{m}^*(x^n) = \hat{m}^*(x)^n = (\bar{x} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{x} + y)^n,$$

hence

$$n \cdot (\bar{x}^{n-1} \otimes \bar{x}) \in ((\bar{x}^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}_B) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B + \mathfrak{m}_B \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B^2).$$

Since $\text{char}(k) = 0$, we have $(\bar{x}^{n-1} \otimes \bar{x}) \in (\bar{x}^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}_B) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B + \mathfrak{m}_B \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B^2$. This implies that either $\bar{x}^{n-1} \in \bar{x}^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}_B$, or $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{m}_B^2$. If it's the first case, Nakayama's lemma would imply that $\bar{x}^{n-1} = 0$. Hence we have $x \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. □

Definition 1.12. Let G be a group scheme over k , and Ω_G^1 be the sheaf of differential 1-forms on G with respect to k . A section $\alpha \in \Gamma(G, \Omega_G^1)$ is said to be *right invariant* (resp. *left invariant*), if we have $pr_1^*(\alpha) = m^*(\alpha)$ in $\Gamma(G \times G, pr_1^* \Omega_G^1)$ (resp. $pr_2^*(\alpha) = m^*(\alpha)$ in $\Gamma(G \times G, pr_2^* \Omega_G^1)$).

Remark 1.13. Let α be a right invariant differential 1-form of G . For each $g \in G(k)$, we denote by $r_g : G \rightarrow G$ the morphism of right translation by g . Since $pr_1 \circ (\text{Id}_G \times (g \circ \pi)) = \text{Id}_G$ and $m \circ (\text{Id}_G \times (g \circ \pi)) = r_g$, we have $r_g^*(\alpha) = (\text{Id}_G \times (g \circ \pi))^* m^* \alpha = (\text{Id}_G \times (g \circ \pi))^* pr_1^* \alpha = \alpha$. Conversely, if $k = \bar{k}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(G, \Omega_G^1)$ is invariant under any r_g^* , then α is right invariant in sense of 1.12. We have similar remarks for left invariant 1-forms.

Proposition 1.14. Let $\omega_G = e^* \Omega_G^1$ be the cotangent space of G at e . Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\pi^* \omega_G \simeq \Omega_G^1$ such that the induced adjunction map $\omega_G \rightarrow \Gamma(G, \Omega_G^1)$ is injective and identifies ω_G with the space of right invariant 1-forms of G .

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \xrightarrow{(e \circ \pi, \text{Id}_G)} & G \times G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G \times G & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & G \\ & & \searrow m & & \downarrow pr_1 & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & & & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Spec}(k), \end{array}$$

where τ is the isomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (xy, y)$. If we consider $G \times G$ as a scheme over G via pr_2 , then τ is a G -automorphism of $G \times G$. It induces an isomorphism of differential modules

$$\Omega_{G \times G/G}^1 \simeq \tau^* \Omega_{G \times G/G}^1.$$

By base change formula for differential modules, we have $\Omega_{G \times G/G}^1 \simeq pr_1^* \Omega_G^1$. Thus the above isomorphism gives rise to an isomorphism

$$pr_1^* \Omega_G^1 \simeq \tau^* pr_1^* \Omega_G^1 = m^* \Omega_G^1.$$

Pulling back by $(e \circ \pi, \text{Id}_G)$, we get

$$\pi^* \omega_G = (e \circ \pi, \text{Id}_G)^* pr_1^* \Omega_G^1 \simeq (e \circ \pi, \text{Id}_G)^* m^* \Omega_G^1 = \Omega_G^1.$$

□

Corollary 1.15. *Let $f : \mathbf{P}_k^1 \rightarrow G$ be a morphism from the projective line to a group scheme G over k . Then there exists a k -rational point $x \in G(k)$, such that $f(\mathbf{P}_k^1) = \{x\}$.*

Proof. It's clear that the image of \mathbf{P}_k^1 is either a curve or a k -rational point of G . If it were the first case, let X denote the image of \mathbf{P}_k^1 , and $k(\mathbf{P}_k^1)$ and $k(X)$ be respectively the fraction fields of \mathbf{P}_k^1 and X . Then $k(\mathbf{P}_k^1)$ is a finite extension of $k(X)$. Assume first that the extension $k(\mathbf{P}_k^1)/k(X)$ is separable (this is automatic if $\text{char}(k) = 0$). Then the morphism $f : \mathbf{P}_k^1 \rightarrow X \subset G$ is generically étale, hence there exists a closed point $t \in \mathbf{P}_k^1$ such that the induced map $f^* \Omega_G^1 \otimes \kappa(t) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^1 \otimes \kappa(t)$ is surjective. But according to the previous proposition, Ω_G^1 is generated by its global sections, so there exists a global section of $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^1$ that is non-vanishing at t . But this is absurd, since $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}(-2)$ does not have any non-zero global sections at all! In the general case, we denote by L the separable closure of $k(X)$ in $k(\mathbf{P}_k^1)$. The purely inseparable finite extension $k(\mathbf{P}_k^1)/L$, say of degree p^n , corresponds to the n -th iteration of (relative) Frobenius morphism $\text{Frob}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^n : \mathbf{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^1$ which sends $(x_0 : x_1) \mapsto (x_0^{p^n} : x_1^{p^n})$. So the morphism f can be factorized as

$$\mathbf{P}_k^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}_{\mathbf{P}_k^1}^n} \mathbf{P}_k^1 \xrightarrow{g} G,$$

where g corresponds to the separable field extension $L/k(X)$. Applying the above argument to g , we still get a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

We end this section by the following proposition due to A. Weil.

Proposition 1.16. *Let X be a normal variety over k , and f be a rational map from X to a group scheme G over k . If f is defined on an open subscheme $U \subset X$ with $\text{codim}_X(X - U) \geq 2$, then f extends to a morphism $X \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. We may assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let $U \subset X$ be the maximal open subscheme where f is defined. We write multiplicatively the group law on G . Consider the rational map $\Phi : X \times X \dashrightarrow G$ given by $\Phi(x, y) = f(x)f(y)^{-1}$. We claim that for any $x \in X(k)$, we have $x \in U(k)$ if and only if Φ can be defined at (x, x) . The “only if” part is trivial. Now suppose that Φ is defined at (x, x) . Let W denote the maximal open locus where Φ is defined, and W_x denote the open subset of X such that $\{x\} \times W_x = W \cap (\{x\} \times X)$. We have $W_x \neq \emptyset$. As X is irreducible, there exists $y \in U \cap W_x$. Thus $f(x) = \Phi(x, y)f(y)$ is well defined. This proves the claim. By assumption, the codimension of $F = X - U$ in X is at least 2. We have to show that Φ is defined everywhere on the diagonal $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$. We note first that the locus in $\Delta(X)$ where Φ is not defined is exactly $\Delta(F)$, and $\Phi(x, x) = e$ whenever Φ is defined at (x, x) , where $e \in G$ denotes the identity element. Let D be the closed subset of $X \times X$ where Φ is not defined. Then each irreducible component of $D \cap \Delta(X)$ must be of codimension 1 in $\Delta(X)$. But by assumption $D \cap \Delta(X) = \Delta(F)$ has codimension at least 2 in $\Delta(X)$. It follows that $D \cap \Delta(X) = \emptyset$. In particular, Φ is defined at (x, x) . This completes the proof of the proposition. □

2. DEFINITION AND BASIC PROPERTIES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

Definition 2.1. An abelian variety over k is a proper variety over k equipped with a k -group scheme structure.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be an abelian variety over k .*

- (i) X is smooth over k .
- (ii) Let $\omega_X = e^*\Omega_{X/k}^1$ be the cotangent space of X at the unit section. Then we have $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^1) \simeq \omega_X$. In particular, if X has dimension 1, then the genus of X equals 1.
- (iii) Let Y be a normal variety, and $f : Y \dashrightarrow X$ be a rational map. Then f extends to a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$.
- (iv) If Y is a rational variety (i.e., birationally equivalent to the projective space \mathbf{P}_k^d with $d \geq 1$), then any rational map from Y to X is constant.

Proof. Statement (i) follows from Proposition 1.9(ii). For (ii), it follows from 1.14 that $\Omega_{X/k}^1 \simeq \omega_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X$. So we have

$$\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^1) = \omega_X \otimes \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

But by Lemma 1.8, X is geometrically connected. Hence, we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$, and (ii) follows. For statement (iii), we note that the local ring of X at a point of height 1 is a discrete valuation ring as X is normal. It follows from the valuative criterion of properness that the rational map f can be defined at all points of height 1. Proposition 1.16 implies that f extends actually to the whole X . For (iv), we note that X is birationally equivalent to $(\mathbf{P}_k^1)^d$, and giving a rational map from Y to X is equivalent to giving a rational from $(\mathbf{P}_k^1)^d$ to X . Statement (iv) now follows immediately from (iii) and Corollary 1.15. \square

Proposition 2.3 (Rigidity Lemma). *Let X and Y be varieties over k , Z be a separated k -scheme, and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism. Assume that X is proper with a k -rational point, and there exists a closed point $y_0 \in Y$ such that the image $f(X \times \{y_0\})$ is a single point $z_0 \in Z$. Then there is a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $f = g \circ p_2$, where $p_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the natural projection.*

Proof. Choose a k -rational point x_0 of X , and define $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ by $g(y) = f(x_0, y)$. Since Z is separated, the locus in $X \times Y$ where f and $g \circ p_2$ coincide is closed in $X \times Y$. As $X \times Y$ is connected, to show that $f = g \circ p_2$, we just need to show that these morphisms coincide on some open subset of $X \times Y$. Let U be an affine open neighborhood of z_0 in Z , $F = Z \setminus U$. Then $G = p_2(f^{-1}(F))$ is a closed subset of Y . Since $f(X \times \{y_0\}) = \{z_0\}$ by assumption, we have $y_0 \notin G$. There exists thus an affine open neighborhood V of y_0 such that $V \cap G = \emptyset$. It's easy to see that $f(X \times V) \subset U$. Since U is affine, the morphism $f : X \times V \rightarrow U$ is determined by the induced morphism

$$f^* : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow \Gamma(X \times V, \mathcal{O}_{X \times V}) \simeq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V).$$

As X is proper, reduced, connected and has a k -rational point, we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq k$. That means the morphism $f : X \times V \rightarrow U$ actually factors through the projection $p_2 : X \times V \rightarrow V$. Hence f and $g \circ p_2$ coincide on $X \times V$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be an abelian variety over k , Y be a group scheme over k , and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of k -schemes. Then there exists a point $a \in Y(k)$ and a homomorphism of group schemes $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = T_a \circ h$, where T_a is the right translation by a .*

Proof. Let e be the unit section of X , and $a = f(e)$. Define $h : X \rightarrow Y$ by $h(x) = f(x) \cdot a^{-1}$. Consider the morphism

$$\Phi : X \times X \rightarrow Y \quad (u, v) \mapsto h(uv)h(v)^{-1}h(u)^{-1}.$$

We have $\Phi(e, x) = \Phi(x, e) = e$ for any point x in X . By the rigidity lemma, it follows that Φ is the constant map to e . Hence, h is a homomorphism of abelian varieties. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Any abelian variety over k is a commutative group scheme.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4, any morphism of abelian varieties that sends the unit section to the unit section is a homomorphism. The corollary then follows by applying this fact to the inverse morphism of an abelian variety. \square

From now on, we denote additively the group law of an abelian variety X , by 0 its unit element. Let Y and Z be reduced closed subschemes of X . Assume that either Y or Z is geometrically reduced. Denote by $Y + Z$ the image of $Y \times Z$ the addition morphism $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$, which is a closed subset of X since m is proper. If we endow $Y + Z$ with the reduced closed subscheme structure, then m induces a surjection $Y \times Z \rightarrow Y + Z$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be an abelian variety over k , and $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety stable under the addition morphism. Then Y contains 0 and is stable under the inversion morphism; in particular, Y is an abelian variety.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphism

$$\Phi: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, x + y).$$

Since Y is stable under addition, the image $\Phi(Y \times Y)$ lies in $Y \times Y$. But both $Y \times Y$ and $\Phi(Y \times Y)$ are irreducible varieties of the same dimension. We have $\Phi: Y \times Y \simeq Y \times Y$. In particular, for any $y \in Y$, $\Phi^{-1}(y, y) = (y, 0)$ belongs to $Y \times Y$. Thus 0 belongs to Y . Moreover, $\Phi^{-1}(y, 0) = (y, -y)$ belongs to $Y \times Y$. This proves Y is stable under inversion. \square

Definition 2.7. Let X be an abelian variety over k . We say a closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ is an *abelian subvariety* if Y is stable under addition. We say X is a *simple* abelian variety if it has no non-trivial abelian subvarieties.

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be an abelian variety of dimension d , and W be a geometrically irreducible closed subvariety of X containing 0 . Then there exists a unique abelian subvariety $Y \subset X$ containing W such that for any abelian subvariety A of X containing W , we have $Y \subset A$. Moreover, there exists an integer $1 \leq h \leq d$ such that any point $x \in Y(\bar{k})$ can be represented as $\sum_{i=1}^h a_i$ with $a_i \in W(\bar{k})$.*

Proof. If $\dim(W) = 0$, then W reduces to $\{0\}$, and the lemma is trivial. Suppose $\dim(W) \geq 1$. For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $W^{(n)}$ be the image of

$$\underbrace{W \times W \times \cdots \times W}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow X \quad (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n.$$

Then $W^{(n)}$ is a closed geometrically irreducible subvariety of X , and we have $W^{(n)} \subset W^{(n+1)}$. It's clear that any abelian subvariety containing W must contain $W^{(n)}$, and any point $x \in W^{(n)}(\bar{k})$ can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ with $a_i \in W(\bar{k})$. Let h be the minimal integer such that $W^{(h)} = W^{(h+1)}$. By induction, we see that $W^{(h)} = W^{(n)}$ for any $n \geq h$. As $1 \leq \dim(W^{(m)}) < \dim(W^{(m+1)})$ for $m \leq h - 1$, we have $h \leq d$. For $x, y \in W^{(h)}$, we have $x + y \in W^{(2h)} = W^{(h)}$. By 2.6, this means that $Y = W^{(h)}$ is an abelian subvariety of X . \square

In the situation of the above lemma, we say Y is the abelian subvariety generated by W .

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be an abelian variety over k of dimension d , D be the support of a divisor of X , W be a closed subvariety containing 0 and disjoint from D , and Y the abelian subvariety generated by W . Then D is stable under translation by Y , i.e., $D+Y = D$ in the notations of 2.5.*

Proof. We may assume k algebraically closed. Up to replacing D by one of its irreducible components, we may assume D is irreducible. Let X_1 be the image of the morphism $D \times W \rightarrow X$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$. Then X_1 is an irreducible closed subvariety of X containing D , since $0 \in W$. So we have either $X_1 = X$ or $X_1 = D$. If $X_1 = X$, as $0 \in X$, we have $0 = x - y$ with $x \in D(k)$ and $y \in W(k)$. This means $x = y \in D \cap W$, which contradicts with the assumption that D and W are disjoint. We have thus $X_1 = D$, i.e., we have $a - w \in D$ for any $a \in D(k)$ and $w \in W(k)$. Since any $b \in Y(k)$ can be written as $b = -\sum_{i=1}^h w_i$ for some $h \leq d$ and $w_i \in W(k)$ by Lemma 2.8, we see by induction that D contains $D + Y$. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Let D be a divisor of an abelian variety X , and W be a closed subvariety of X disjoint from D . Then for any points $w, w' \in W(k)$, D is stable under the translation by $w' - w$.*

Proof. We may assume D effective and reduced. Note that $T_{-w}(W)$ contains 0 and is disjoint from $T_{-w}(D)$. The corollary follows immediately from the proposition. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let X be a simple abelian variety, D be a nontrivial divisor of X . Then any closed subvariety of X of positive dimension has a nontrivial intersection with D .*

3. THEOREM OF THE CUBE AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

We will assume the following theorem in algebraic geometry, and its proof can be found in [Ha77] or [Mu70, §5].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes, \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X , flat over Y . Then there is a finite complex concentrated in degrees $[0, n]$*

$$K^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$$

consisting of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules locally free of finite type, such that for any morphism $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ and any integer $q \geq 0$ we have a functorial isomorphism

$$H^q(X \times_Y Z, p_1^*(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(Z, g^*(K^\bullet)),$$

where p_1 is the projection $p_1 : X \times_Y Z \rightarrow X$.

This important theorem has many consequences on the cohomology of schemes. Here, what we need is the following

Corollary 3.2. *Let X, Y, f and \mathcal{F} be as in the theorem. Then for any integer $q \geq 0$, the function on Y with values in \mathbf{Z} defined by*

$$y \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(y)} H^q(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

is upper semi-continuous on Y , i.e., for any integer $d \geq 0$ the subset $\{y \in Y; \dim_{\kappa(y)} H^q(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) \geq d\}$ is closed in Y .

Proof. The problem is local for Y , so we may assume $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ is affine and all the components of the complex K^\bullet are free A -modules of finite type. Let $d^q : K^q \rightarrow K^{q+1}$ be the coboundary operator of K . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\kappa(y)} H^q(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) &= \dim_{\kappa(y)} \text{Ker}(d^q \otimes \kappa(y)) - \dim_{\kappa(y)} \text{Im}(d^{q-1} \otimes \kappa(y)) \\ &= \dim_{\kappa(y)}(K^q \otimes \kappa(y)) - \dim_{\kappa(y)} \text{Im}(d^q \otimes \kappa(y)) - \dim_{\kappa(y)} \text{Im}(d^{q-1} \otimes \kappa(y)). \end{aligned}$$

The first term being constant on Y , it suffices to prove that, for any q , the function $y \mapsto g(y) = \dim_{\kappa(y)} \text{Im}(d^q \otimes_A \kappa(y))$ is lower semi-continuous on Y , i.e., the subset of points y with $g(y) \leq r$ is closed for any integer $r \geq 0$. The condition that $g(y) \leq r$ is equivalent to saying that the morphism $(\wedge^{r+1} d^q) \otimes_A \kappa(y) : K^q \otimes_A \kappa(y) \rightarrow K^{q+1} \otimes_A \kappa(y)$ is zero. Since both K^q and K^{q+1} are free A -modules, $\wedge^{r+1} d^q$ is represented by a matrix with coefficients in A . The locus where $\wedge^{r+1} d^q$ vanishes is the common zeros of all the coefficients of its matrix. \square

Proposition 3.3 (See-Saw principle). *Let X be a proper variety, Y be a locally noetherian scheme over k and L be a line bundle on $X \times Y$. Then there exists a unique closed subscheme $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) *If L_1 is the restriction of L to $X \times Y_1$, there is a line bundle M_1 on Y_1 and an isomorphism $p_2^* M_1 \simeq L_1$ on $X \times Y_1$;*
- (ii) *If $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism such that there exists a line bundle K on Z and an isomorphism $p_2^*(K) \simeq (\text{Id}_X \times f)^*(L)$ on $X \times Z$, then f can be factored through $g : Z \rightarrow Y_1$ and $K \simeq g^*(M_1)$.*

First, we prove the following

Lemma 3.4. *Let X, Y and L be as in the proposition above. Then the subset of Y , consisting of points y such that the restriction L_y on $X \times \{y\}$ is trivial, is closed in Y .*

Proof. We claim that L_y is trivial if and only if we have both $\dim_{\kappa(y)} H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y) \geq 1$ and $\dim_{\kappa(y)} H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y^{-1}) \geq 1$. These conditions are clearly necessary. Conversely, if these dimension conditions are satisfied, then there are non-trivial morphisms $f : \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y\}} \rightarrow L_y$ and $g : L_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y\}}$. Since X is a proper variety, we have $\Gamma(X \times \{y\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y\}}) = \kappa(y)$. Hence the composite $g \circ f : \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y\}} \rightarrow L_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y\}}$ is necessarily an isomorphism. This shows that both f and g are isomorphisms, hence L_y is trivial. The lemma then follows immediately from Corollary 3.2. \square

Proof of Prop. 3.3. The uniqueness of Y_1 follows immediately from the universal property of Y_1 . Since different local pieces of Y_1 will patch together by the uniqueness of Y_1 , it's sufficient to prove the existence of Y_1 locally for the Zariski topology of Y . Let F be the subset of points $y \in Y$ such that the restriction L_y to $X \times \{y\}$ is trivial. Then F is a closed subset by Lemma 3.4. If the desired Y_1 exists, then its underlying topological space is exactly F . Let $y \in F$ be a closed point, and Y_y be the localization of Y at y . We just need to prove the existence of Y_1 for Y_y , since then Y_1 will naturally spread out to a closed subscheme of a certain open neighborhood of y in Y . Up to replacing Y by Y_y , we may assume $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ is local with closed point y and L_y is trivial.

Let $K^\bullet = (0 \rightarrow K^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} K^1 \rightarrow \dots)$ be the complex of finite free A -modules given by Theorem 3.1 for the sheaf L . For an A -module N , we put $N^* = \text{Hom}_A(N, A)$. Let M denote the cokernel of the induced map $\alpha^* : K^{1*} \rightarrow K^{0*}$. Then for any A -algebra B , if we denote $X_B = X \otimes_k B$ and by L_B the pullback of L on X_B , we have

$$H^0(X_B, L_B) = \text{Ker}(\alpha \otimes_A B) = \text{Hom}_A(M, B) = \text{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, B).$$

In particular, we have $H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y) = \text{Hom}_{\kappa(y)}(M \otimes_A \kappa(y), \kappa(y))$. Since L_y is trivial by assumption, we have $\dim_{\kappa(y)}(M \otimes_A \kappa(y)) = 1$. By Nakayama's lemma, there exists an ideal $I \subset A$ such that $M \simeq A/I$ as A -modules. Then for any A -algebra B , $H^0(X_B, L_B)$ is a free B -module of rank 1 if and only if the structure map $A \rightarrow B$ factors as $A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow B$. Applying the same process to the sheaf L^{-1} , we get another ideal $J \subset A$ such that for any A -algebra B , $H^0(X_B, L_B^{-1})$ is free of rank 1 over B if and only if B is actually an A/J -algebra. We claim that the closed subscheme $Y_1 = \text{Spec}(A/(I + J))$ satisfies the requirements of the proposition. Condition (ii) is

immediate by our construction of $X \times Y_1$. Let L_1 denote the restriction of L on Y_1 . It's sufficient to prove that L_1 is a trivial line bundle. Let f_0 and g_0 be respectively generators of $H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y)$ and $H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y^{-1})$ such that their image is 1 by the canonical map

$$H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y) \times H^0(X \times \{y\}, L_y^{-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X \times \{y\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y\}}) = \kappa(y).$$

This is certainly possible, since L_y is trivial. Let $f \in H^0(X \times Y_1, L_1)$ (*resp.* $g \in H^0(X \times Y_1, L_1^{-1})$) be a lift of f_0 (*resp.* g_0). Up to modifying f , we may assume the image of (f, g) is 1 by the canonical product morphism

$$H^0(X \times Y_1, L_1) \times H^0(X \times Y_1, L_1^{-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X \times Y_1, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y_1}) \simeq A/(I + J).$$

If we denote still by $f : \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y_1} \rightarrow L_1$ (*resp.* by $g : L_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y_1}$) the morphism of line bundles induced by f (*resp.* by g), we have $g \circ f = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y_1}}$ and $f \circ g = \text{Id}_{L_1}$. This proves that L_1 is trivial. \square

Theorem 3.5 (Theorem of the Cube). *Let X and Y be proper varieties over k , Z be a connected k -scheme of finite type, and $x_0 \in X(k)$, $y_0 \in Y(k)$ and $z_0 \in Z$. Let L be a line bundle on $X \times Y \times Z$ whose restrictions to $\{x_0\} \times Y \times Z$, $X \times \{y_0\} \times Z$ and $X \times Y \times \{z_0\}$ are trivial. Then L is trivial.*

Proof. (Mumford) By Proposition 3.3, there exists a maximal closed subscheme $Z' \subset Z$ such that $L|_{X \times Y \times Z'} \simeq p_3^*(M)$, where p_3 is the projection $X \times Y \times Z' \rightarrow Z'$ and M is a line bundle on Z' . As $z_0 \in Z'$, Z' is non-empty. After restriction to $\{x_0\} \times Y \times Z'$, we see that $M \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z'}$. It remains to show that $Z' = Z$. Since Z is connected, it suffices to prove that if a point belongs to Z' , then Z' contains an open neighborhood of this point. Denote this point by z_0 . Let \mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0} be the local ring of Z at z_0 , \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal, and $\kappa(z_0) = \mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0}/\mathfrak{m}$, and I_{z_0} be the ideal of $Z' \times_Z \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0})$. It's sufficient to prove that $I_{z_0} = 0$. If not, since $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ by Krull's theorem, we would have an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^n \supset I_{z_0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \not\supset I_{z_0}$. Hence $(\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} + I_{z_0})/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ is a non-zero subspace of $\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. We put $J_1 = \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} + I_{z_0}$, then there exists $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \subset J_2 \subsetneq J_1$ such that $\dim_{\kappa(z_0)}(J_1/J_2) = 1$. Let $Z_i = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0}/J_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. We have $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, and the ideal of Z_1 in Z_2 is generated by an element $a \in I_{z_0}$. We have an exact sequence of abelian sheaves over the topological space $X \times Y \times \{z_0\}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_2}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_1}^\times \rightarrow 1,$$

where u is given by $x \mapsto 1 + ax$. Since $H^0(X \times Y \times Z_i, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_i}^\times)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^0(Z_i, \mathcal{O}_{Z_i}^\times)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we see that the natural map $H^0(X \times Y \times Z_2, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_2}^\times) \rightarrow H^0(X \times Y \times Z_1, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_1}^\times)$ is surjective. Hence, we have an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$(3.5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}}) \rightarrow H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_2}^\times) \rightarrow H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_1}^\times).$$

By our construction, $L_1 = L|_{X \times Y \times Z_1}$ is trivial, and $L_2 = L|_{X \times Y \times Z_2}$ is not trivial. If we denote by $[L_i]$ ($i = 1, 2$) the cohomology class of L_i in $H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_i}^\times)$, we have $[L_1] = 0$ and $[L_2] \neq 0$. By the exact sequence (3.5.1), $[L_2]$ comes from a nonzero cohomology class $[L_0]$ in $H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}})$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times Z_2}^\times) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\{x_0\} \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\{x_0\} \times Y \times \{z_0\}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\{x_0\} \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\{x_0\} \times Y \times Z_2}^\times), \end{array}$$

where vertical arrows are induced by the natural restriction $\{x_0\} \times Y \times \{z_0\} \hookrightarrow X \times Y \times \{z_0\}$, and the injectivity of the lower arrow follows in the same way as in (3.5.1). Since L is trivial over $\{x_0\} \times Y \times Z$, in particular over $\{x_0\} \times Y \times Z_2$, the image of $[L_0]$ in

$$H^1(\{x_0\} \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\{x_0\} \times Y \times \{z_0\}}) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes_k \kappa(z_0)$$

vanishes. Similarly, the image of $[L_0]$ in $H^1(X \times \{y_0\} \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{y_0\} \times \{z_0\}}) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \kappa(z_0)$ vanishes. On the other hand, we have an isomorphism

$$H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}}) \simeq H^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}) \otimes_k \kappa(z_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)) \otimes_k \kappa(z_0)$$

by Künneth formula, where the latter map is induced by the inclusion $(\{x_0\} \times Y) \cup (X \times \{y_0\}) \hookrightarrow X \times Y$. We conclude that $[L_0]$ must vanish. This is a contradiction, and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Remark 3.6. A slightly different way to prove that Z' contains an open neighborhood of z_0 is the following. First, we note as above that it's sufficient to show the restriction of L to $X \times Y \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0})$ is trivial. Let A be the completion of \mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0} , $S = \text{Spec}(A)$, $S_n = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0}/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$, and $(X \times Y \times S)^\wedge$ be the completion of $X \times Y \times S$ along the closed subscheme $X \times Y \times \{z_0\}$. Then we have canonical morphisms of Picard groups

$$\text{Pic}(X \times Y \times \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z, z_0})) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X \times Y \times S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}((X \times Y \times S)^\wedge) = \varprojlim_n \text{Pic}(X \times Y \times S_n),$$

where the injectivity of this map follows from the descent of coherent sheaves by faithfully flat and quasi-compact morphisms, and the second isomorphism is Grothendieck's existence theorem of coherent sheaves in formal geometry [EGA III 5.1.4]. Hence it suffices to prove that the restriction $L_n = L|_{X \times Y \times S_n}$ is trivial for all $n \geq 0$. We prove this by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is a hypothesis of the theorem. We now assume $n \geq 1$ and L_{n-1} is trivial. We have an exact sequence of abelian sheaves on $X \times Y \times \{z_0\}$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}} \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times S_n}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times S_{n-1}}^\times \rightarrow 1,$$

where u is given by $x \mapsto 1 + x$. Taking cohomologies, we get

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}} \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X \times Y \times S_n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X \times Y \times S_{n-1}).$$

By induction hypothesis the class of L_{n-1} in $\text{Pic}(X \times Y \times S_{n-1})$ is zero, so the class of L_n in $\text{Pic}(X \times Y \times S_n)$ comes from a class in $H^1(X \times Y \times \{z_0\}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}} \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$. Then we can use the same argument as above to conclude that this cohomology class must vanish.

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be an abelian variety over k , $p_i : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection onto the i -th factor, $m_{i,j} = p_i + p_j : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$, and $m_{123} = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$. Then for any line bundle L on X , we have*

$$M = m_{123}^* L \otimes m_{12}^* L^{-1} \otimes m_{13}^* L^{-1} \otimes m_{23}^* L^{-1} \otimes p_1^* L \otimes p_2^* L \otimes p_3^* L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times X \times X}.$$

Equivalently, if S is a k -scheme and f, g, h are any S valued points of X , we have

$$(3.7.1) \quad (f + g + h)^* L \simeq (f + g)^* L \otimes (f + h)^* L \otimes (g + h)^* L \otimes f^* L^{-1} \otimes g^* L^{-1} \otimes h^* L^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $i_1 : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X \times X$ be the morphism given by $(x, y) \mapsto (0, x, y)$. We have $m_{123} \circ i_1 = m$, $m_{12} \circ i_1 = p_1$, $m_{13} \circ i_1 = p_2$, $m_{23} \circ i_1 = m$, $p_1 \circ i_1 = 0$, $p_2 \circ i_1 = p_1$, and $p_3 \circ i_1 = p_2$. So we have

$$M|_{\{0\} \times X \times X} = i_1^* M = m^* L \otimes p_1^* L^{-1} \otimes p_2^* L^{-1} \otimes m^* L^{-1} \otimes p_1^* L \otimes p_2^* L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}.$$

Similarly, both $M|_{X \times \{0\} \times X}$ and $M_{X \times X \times \{0\}}$ are trivial. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.5. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let X be an abelian variety, n_X be the morphism of multiplication by $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ on X . Then for any line bundle L on X , we have*

$$(3.8.1) \quad (n_X)^*L \simeq L^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}} \otimes (-1_X)^*L^{\frac{n^2-n}{2}}.$$

Proof. The formula (3.8.1) for $n < 0$ follows from the case $n > 0$ by applying $(-1)_X^*$. We now prove the corollary for $n \geq 1$ by induction. The cases with $n = 0, 1$ are trivial. Assume now $n \geq 1$ and (3.8.1) has been verified for all positive integers less than or equal to n . Taking $f = n_X$, $g = 1_X$, and $h = -1_X$ in the formula (3.7.1), we get

$$(n+1)_X^*L \simeq (n_X^*L)^2 \otimes (n-1)_X^*L^{-1} \otimes L \otimes (-1_X)^*L.$$

The formula (3.8.1) is then verified by an easy computation. \square

Corollary 3.9 (Square Theorem). *Let X be an abelian variety over k , L a line bundle on X . Let S be any k -scheme, $X_S = X \times S$, $L_S = p_X^*L$, x, y be two S -valued points of X , and $T_x : X_S \rightarrow X_S$ be the translation by x . Then there exists a line bundle N on S such that*

$$T_{x+y}^*L_S \otimes L_S \simeq T_x^*L_S \otimes T_y^*L_S \otimes p_S^*N,$$

where $p_S : X_S \rightarrow S$ is the natural projection onto S .

Proof. Let $\beta : S \rightarrow X \times X$ be the morphism $s \mapsto (x(s), y(s))$, and $\alpha = (\text{Id}_X, \beta) : X_S = X \times S \rightarrow X \times (X \times X)$. By Theorem of the cube 3.7, we have

$$\alpha^*(m_{123}^*L) \simeq \alpha^*(m_{12}^*L \otimes m_{13}^*L \otimes m_{23}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1} \otimes p_3^*L^{-1})$$

It's easy to see that the above isomorphism is equivalent to

$$T_{x+y}^*L_S = T_x^*L_S \otimes T_y^*L_S \otimes L_S^{-1} \otimes p_S^*(m^*L \otimes p_1^{-1}L \otimes p_2^{-1}L).$$

This proves the corollary. \square

Definition 3.10. Let X be an abelian variety, and L a line bundle on X . We denote by $K(L)$ the maximal closed subscheme X such that $(m^*(L) \otimes p_1^*L^{-1})|_{X \times K(L)}$ has the form $p_2^*(N)$.

The existence of $K(L)$ is ensured by see-saw principle 3.4. It's easy to see that $0 \in K(L)$. Restricted to $\{0\} \times K(L)$, we have $N \simeq L$. Thus $K(L)$ is the maximal subscheme $Z \subset X$ such that the restriction of the line bundle $(m^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1})$ to $X \times Z$ is trivial.

Lemma 3.11. *The closed subscheme $K(L)$ is a closed subgroup scheme of X .*

Proof. We have to show that $K(L)$ is stable under the addition of X , i.e., it's sufficient to prove that the morphism

$$X \times K(L) \times K(L) \hookrightarrow X \times X \times X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X \times m} X \times X$$

factors through the natural inclusion $X \times K(L) \hookrightarrow X \times X$. By the universal property of $K(L)$, we need to prove that the restriction of $(\text{Id}_X \times m)^*(m^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1})$ to $X \times K(L) \times K(L)$ is trivial. With the notation of 3.7, we have $m \circ (\text{Id}_X \times m) = m_{123}$, $p_1 \circ (\text{Id}_X \times m) = p_1$, and $p_2 \circ (\text{Id}_X \times m) = m_{23}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id}_X \times m)^*(m^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1}) &= m_{123}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes m_{23}^*L^{-1} \\ &\simeq m_{12}^*L \otimes m_{13}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-2} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1} \otimes p_3^*L^{-1} \\ &= (m_{12}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1}) \otimes (m_{13}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_3^*L^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism uses Proposition 3.7. When restricted to $X \times K(L) \times K(L)$, both $m_{12}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L^{-1}$ and $m_{13}^*L \otimes p_1^*L^{-1} \otimes p_3^*L^{-1}$ are trivial. This proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Consider the statements:*

- (i) L is ample on X .
- (ii) $K(L)$ is a finite group scheme.

We have (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Conversely, if $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is the line bundle associated with an effective divisor D , then (i) and (ii) are both equivalent to

- (iii) The group $\{x \in X(\bar{k}); T_x(D) = D\}$ (equality as divisors) is finite.

Proof. By considering scalar extension of X to \bar{k} , we may assume that k is algebraically closed. For (i) \Rightarrow (ii), suppose $K(L)$ is not finite. Let Y be the reduced closed subscheme of the neutral connected component of $K(L)$. Then Y is a smooth connected closed subgroup scheme of X of dimension $d > 0$; in particular, Y is an abelian scheme. As L is ample, so is the restriction $L_Y = L|_Y$. By our construction, the line bundle $m^*L_Y \otimes p_1^*L_Y^{-1} \otimes p_2^*L_Y^{-1}$ is trivial. Pulling back by the morphism $Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ given by $y \mapsto (y, -y)$, we see that $L_Y \otimes (-1_Y)^*L_Y$ is trivial. But -1_Y is an automorphism of Y and L_Y is ample, so $L_Y \otimes (-1_Y)^*L_Y$ is also ample. This is a contradiction.

Assume $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is effective. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) being trivial, we just need to prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i). By Serre's cohomological criterion of ampleness [EGA, III 4.4.2], it's sufficient to prove that the linear system $|2D|$ has no base point, and defines a finite morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$. By 3.9, the linear system $|2D|$ contains the divisors $T_x(D) + T_{-x}(D)$ (addition for divisors) for all $x \in X(k)$. For any $u \in X(k)$, we can find $x \in X(k)$ such that $u \pm x \notin \text{Supp } D$, i.e., we have $u \notin T_x(D) + T_{-x}(D)$. This shows that the linear system $|2D|$ has no base point, and defines a morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$. If ϕ is not finite, we can find a closed irreducible curve C such that $\phi(C)$ is a point. It follows that for any $E \in |2D|$, either E contains C or is disjoint with C . In particular, there are infinitely many $x \in X(k)$ such that $T_x(D) + T_{-x}(D)$ is disjoint from C . For such an $x \in X(k)$, it follows from Corollary 2.10 that every irreducible component of $T_x(D) + T_{-x}(D)$ is invariant under the translation by $a - b$ for any $a, b \in C(k)$. In particular, D is invariant under translation by $a - b$ for any $a, b \in C(k)$. This contradicts the assumption that the group $\{x \in X(k); T_x(D) \simeq D\}$ is finite. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Every abelian variety over k is projective.*

Proof. Let X be an abelian variety over k of dimension $d \geq 1$. We need to prove that there exists an ample line bundle on X . First, we prove this in the case $k = \bar{k}$. Let U be an affine open subscheme of X such that each irreducible component of the complementary $X \setminus U$ has dimension $d - 1$. We denote by D the divisor defined by the reduced closed subscheme $X \setminus U$. Up to translation, we may assume that $0 \in U$. Consider the subgroup $H \subset X$ defined by

$$H(\bar{k}) = \{x \in X(\bar{k}); T_x(D) = D\}.$$

It's easy to see that H is closed in X . On the other hand, U is stable under translation T_x for $x \in H$. Since $0 \in U$, we have $H \subset U$. It follows that H is both proper and affine, hence finite. The above proposition now implies that $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is ample. In the general case, we can choose an ample divisor D defined over a finite extension k'/k . If k'/k is separable, we may assume k'/k is Galois. The divisor

$$\tilde{D} := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)} \sigma(D)$$

is then an ample divisor defined over k . If k'/k is purely inseparable, there exists an integer m such that $\alpha^{p^m} \in k$ for all $\alpha \in k'$. Then $p^m \cdot D$ is an ample divisor defined on k . The general case is a composition of these two special cases. \square

4. QUOTIENT BY A FINITE GROUP SCHEME

Definition 4.1. Let G be a group scheme over k , $e \in G(k)$ be the unit element, and m denote its multiplication. An action of G on a k -scheme X is a morphism $\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that

(i) the composite

$$X \simeq \text{Spec}(k) \times X \xrightarrow{e \times \text{Id}_X} G \times X \xrightarrow{\mu} X$$

is the identity;

(ii) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{m \times \text{Id}_X} & G \times X \\ \downarrow \text{Id}_G \times \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The action μ is said to be free if the morphism

$$(\mu, p_2) : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$$

is a closed immersion.

From the functorial point of view, giving an action of G on X is equivalent to giving for every S -valued point f of G , an automorphism $\mu_f : X \times S \rightarrow X \times S$ of S -schemes, functorially in S . The two conditions in the definition above is equivalent to requiring that $G(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_S(X \times S)$ sending $f \mapsto \mu_f$ is a homomorphism of groups.

Definition 4.2. Let X and Y be k -schemes equipped with a G -action. A morphism of k -schemes $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is called G -equivariant, if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu_X} & X \\ \downarrow \text{Id}_G \times f & & \downarrow f \\ G \times Y & \xrightarrow{\mu_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

is commutative. In particular, if the action of G on Y is trivial, a G -equivariant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called G -invariant. A G -invariant morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^1$ is called a G -invariant function on X .

Definition 4.3. Let X be a k -scheme equipped with an action of G , \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . A lift of the action μ to \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism $\lambda : p_2^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu^* \mathcal{F}$ of sheaves on $G \times X$ such that the diagram of sheaves on $G \times G \times X$

$$(4.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p_3^* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{p_{23}^*(\lambda)} & p_{23}^*(\mu^* \mathcal{F}) \simeq (\text{Id}_G \times \mu)^*(p_2^* \mathcal{F}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow (\text{Id}_G \times \mu)^*(\lambda) \\ (m \times \text{Id}_X)^*(p_2^* \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{(m \times \text{Id}_X)^*(\lambda)} & (m \times \text{Id}_X)^*(\mu^* \mathcal{F}) \simeq (\text{Id}_G \times \mu)^*(\mu^* \mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $p_{23} : G \times G \times X \rightarrow G \times X$ is the natural projection onto the last two factors, p_i is the projection onto the i -th factor.

From the functorial point of view, a lift of μ on \mathcal{F} is to require, for every S -valued point f of G , an isomorphism of coherent sheaves

$$\lambda_f : \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_f^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)$$

on $X \times S$, where $\mu_f : X \times S \rightarrow X \times S$ is the automorphism determined by μ . The commutative diagram (4.3.1) is equivalent to requiring that, for any S -valued points f, g of G , we have a commutative diagram of coherent sheaves on $X \times S$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S & \xrightarrow{\lambda_g} & \mu_g^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) \\ \downarrow \lambda_{fg} & & \downarrow \mu_g^*(\lambda_f) \\ \mu_{fg}^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mu_g^* \mu_f^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S), \end{array}$$

where we have used the identification $\mu_{fg} = \mu_f \circ \mu_g$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a finite group scheme over k , X be a k -scheme endowed with an action of G such that the orbit of any point of X is contained in an affine open subset of X .*

(i) *There exists a pair (Y, π) where Y is a k -scheme and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism, satisfying the following conditions:*

- *as a topological space, (Y, π) is the quotient of X for the action of the underlying finite group;*
- *the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is G -invariant, and if $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ denotes the subsheaf of $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of G -invariant functions, the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is an isomorphism.*

The pair (Y, π) is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by these conditions. The morphism π is finite and surjective. Furthermore, Y has the following universal property: for any G -invariant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Z$, there exists a unique morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $f = g \circ \pi$.

(ii) *Suppose that the action of G on X is free and $G = \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\dim_k(R) = n$. The π is a finite flat morphism of degree n , and the subscheme of $X \times X$ defined by the closed immersion*

$$(\mu, p_2) : G \times X \rightarrow (X, X)$$

is equal to the subscheme $X \times_Y X \subset X \times X$. Finally, if \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf on Y , $\pi^\mathcal{F}$ has a natural G -action lifting that on X , and*

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \pi^*\mathcal{F}$$

induces an equivalence of the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules (resp. locally free \mathcal{O}_Y -module of finite rank) and the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules with G -action (resp. locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules of finite rank with G -action).

Proof. (i) Let x be a point of X , and U be an affine neighborhood of x that contains the orbit of x . We put $V = \bigcap_{g \in G(\bar{k})} gU$, where g runs through the set of geometric points of G . Then V is an affine neighborhood of x in X invariant under the action of G . Up to replacing X by V , we may and do assume that $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ is affine. Let R be the ring of G , $\epsilon : R \rightarrow k$ the evaluation map at the unit element e , and $m^* : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$ be the comultiplication map. Then giving an action μ of G on X is equivalent to giving a map of k -algebras $\mu^* : A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$ such that the composite

$$(\epsilon \otimes \text{Id}_A) \circ \mu^* : A \xrightarrow{\mu^*} R \otimes A \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes \text{Id}_A} A$$

is the identity map, and that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & R \otimes A \\
 \downarrow \mu^* & & \downarrow m^* \otimes \text{Id}_A \\
 R \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_R \otimes \mu^*} & R \otimes R \otimes A
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. □

5. THE PICARD FUNCTOR

Let S be a scheme, \mathbf{Sch}/S be the category of S -schemes, and $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper and flat morphism. For $T \in \mathbf{Sch}/S$, we put $X_T = X \times_S T$. We denote by $\text{Pic}(X_T)/\text{Pic}(T)$ the cokernel of the natural homomorphism of groups $f_T^* : \text{Pic}(T) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_T)$ induced by the canonical projection $f_T : X_T \rightarrow T$. We denote by $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}$ be the abelian functor $T \mapsto \text{Pic}(X_T)/\text{Pic}(T)$ on \mathbf{Sch}/S . Let $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ be the associated sheaf of $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}$ with respect to the fppf-topology on \mathbf{Sch}/S . In general, for $T \in \mathbf{Sch}/S$, $\text{Pic}_{X/S}(T)$ does not coincide with $\text{Pic}(X_T)/\text{Pic}(T)$. But we have the following

Lemma 5.1. *If $f : X \rightarrow S$ admits a section s , then $\underline{\text{Pic}}_{X/S}$ is a sheaf for the fppf-topology of \mathbf{Sch}/S , i.e., for any $T \in \mathbf{Sch}/S$, we have $\text{Pic}_{X/S}(T) = \text{Pic}(X_T)/\text{Pic}(T)$.*

Proof. Let $p : T'' \rightarrow T$ be a fppf-morphism in \mathbf{Sch}/S , $T'' = T' \times_T T'$, and $p_i : T'' \rightarrow T'$ be the canonical projections onto the i -th factor. We have to verify that there is an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_T)/\text{Pic}(T) \xrightarrow{p^*} \text{Pic}(X_{T'})/\text{Pic}(T') \xrightarrow{p_2^* - p_1^*} \text{Pic}(X_{T''})/\text{Pic}(T'').$$

□

REFERENCES

- [GM] G. van der Geer and B. Moonen, *Abelian Varieties*, Preprint available at <http://staff.science.uva.nl/~bmoonen/boek/BookAV.html>
- [Ha77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, (1977).
- [Mu70] D. Mumford (with Appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Y. Manin), *Abelian Varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, (1970).
- [We48] A. Weil, *Variétés abéliennes et courbes algébriques*, Hermann, Paris, (1948).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ, 08544, USA
E-mail address: yichaot@princeton.edu

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2960 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027, USA
E-mail address: zheng@math.columbia.edu